

Goal: Faculty will produce a Personal Interactive Portfolio (PIP) using Google Sites for all students involved in the One to One Laptop Programme (Years 1 – 5 MYP)			
Success Indicator: Students will use their PIP in Subject Specific Student Lead Conferences in Trimester 2		Evaluation Method: Place into subject specific Strategic Planning for Year and attach to faculty SMART Goals for Atlanta International School's Professional Growth Model Standard 1: Instructional Planning and Standard 2: Instructional Delivery.	
Strategies	Timeline	Budget/Funding Source	Person(s) Responsible
Hands on PD specific to Google Sites during last Wednesday of the month Professional Development time	August and September 2014	In-house PD budget – 2 Instructional Technology Coaches and 1 Google Certified Teacher to lead No additional costs	Directory of Technology 2 Technology coaches (PYP, MYP) 1 Google Certified Teacher
Drop-in workshops for building Google Sites during Monday after school sessions (optional for teachers)	August – Winter Break	In-house Google Certified Teacher – no additional cost	1 Google Certified Teacher
One "Lead Teacher" identified from each instructional subject group to complete external Google training at geographically nearest "Google in Education" Summit (Lovett of FL Sept – Nov 2014 – TBC)	November 2014	Summit cost: \$249 (early reg. x 8) = 2,000 approx. Travel: If Lovett – local travel – no fees apply as per school policy. If FL – TBA = figure 300 per person flight plus ground transportation (\$2,400) Title II funding eligible for meals per diem, travel and additional expenses.	Director of Technology Group Leaders identifying lead teachers through SMART Professional Development Goal Standards assessment
Goal: Create a Technology Task Force for Middle and Upper School to research, test, reflect on and evaluate good instructional technology pedagogy in the classroom			
Success Indicator: Schedule and complete one collaborative meeting per month (after school) with one representative of each of the subject groups, a librarian, an administrator and		Evaluation Method: Use of Florida Technology Integration matrix adopted by school Technology Strategic Plan (amended for school climate and level) http://fcit.usf.edu/matrix/	

business office (volunteer) to create a technology evaluation matrix for Atlanta International School			
Strategies	Timeline	Budget/Funding Source	Person(s) Responsible
Personally approach lead teachers for identification of one faculty, librarian, administrator and business office representative to sit on the Task Force	August 2014 – September 2014	None	Director of Technology 2 Technology Coaches
Schedule once monthly meetings on calendars with strategic agenda Gantt timeline	October 2014 – June 2015	None	Director of Technology 2 Technology Coaches
Meetings directed to agenda, minutes with working collaborative document to develop technology evaluation matrix	October 2014 – June 2015	Slush budget for coffee / snacks	All stakeholders in the Task Force
Goal: Visualize MYP – Next Chapter and opportunities to use technology for faculty knowledgeable concerning new methods of planning collaboratively for Units of Inquiry (UoI) (<i>Curriculum mapping and framework</i>)			
Success Indicator: Clear, strategic and transparent map of MYP Years 1 – 5 curriculum across all eight subject areas in either organic, AIS grown “software” or in customized generic product (for example, ManageBac / Atlas Mapping)		Evaluation Method: Look at existing methods of curriculum mapping, evaluate using faculty consensus (questionnaire – group discussions) and rationale the most appropriate method for the task in hand	
Strategies	Timeline	Budget/Funding Source	Person(s) Responsible
Create and implement questionnaire concerning current mapping software use	May 2014	None – in house	Director of Technology Technology Task Force
Data analysis	June 2014	None – in house	Director of Technology Technology Task Force
Decision based on data and next steps in	Summer 2014 for new school year August	TBD dependent on data	Director of Technology Technology Task Force

terms of vendor or in-house creation	2014		
--------------------------------------	------	--	--